1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	CENTRAL DISTRICT OF TI MARTHA RAUDA, REGULO PUEBLA, individually and as successors in interest to MARTHA PUEBLA, decedent, Plaintiffs, vs. CITY OF LOS ANGELES, a public entity, CHIEF WILLIAM BRATTON, an individual, DETECTIVE MARTIN PINNER, an individual, DETECTIVE JUAN RODRIGUEZ, an individual, AND DOES 1 THROUGH 10	DISTRICT COURT HE STATE OF CALIFORNIA NO. CV-08-3128 CAS (PJWx) STIPULATION FOR PROTECTIVE ORDER RE PRIVILEGED DOCUMENTS AND THINGS PURSUANT TO SUBPOENA TO THE OFFICE OF THE LOS ANGELES COUNTY DISTRICT ATTORNEY Judge Christina A. Snyder Mag. Judge Patrick J. Walsh
	Defendants.	\}
23	_ ====================================	
24)
25)
26	TO: THE HONORABLE COURT:	
27	Plaintiffs Martha Rauda and Regulo Puebla, individually and as successors	
28	in interest to Martha Puebla, Decedent (collectively referred to as "Plaintiffs"), and	
	1.	

13. Any and all LADA manuals, bulletins, rules, regulations, policies, procedures, training materials and related documents relating to the offering of witness protection to persons, including, a) whether such offers of witness protection and the reasons therefore are to be documents in writing, b) the information that should be provided to persons offered witness protection, c) whether persons offered witness protection should be told the reasons why they are being offered witness protection, including the reasons why the LAPD, LADA, or other law enforcement has determined that they are at risk.

The LADA and Silverman made written objections to the subpoena on the grounds that disclosure of information in the materials requested would implicate the subjects' rights to privacy, would endanger the personal safety of the subjects, would disclose confidential official information, would disclose attorney work product, may harm and interfere with other criminal prosecutions, and/or would disclose information protected by the deliberative processes privilege.

- 2. Plaintiffs, the LADA, and Silverman are desirous of resolving the disputes about disclosure and use of information acquired by plaintiffs and defendants from the LADA and its personnel.
- 3. The LADA maintains and asserts that it has a legitimate need to limit access to information about internal decision making for the conduct and course of prosecutions.
- 4. The LADA also maintains and asserts that is has a legitimate need to meet its obligations to maintain confidentiality and/or privacy of information as required by statute and judicial decision, and to assure personal safety of potential witnesses in its prosecutions.
- 5. To complete discovery expeditiously, to regulate the disclosure and use of information from the LADA, and to meet the above identified needs of

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

- the substance of the Confidential Information.The PROTECTED DOCUMENTS shall be treated as confidential by Plaintiffs and their counsel and shall not be further disclosed except as provided in this Protective Order.
- c. The PROTECTED DOCUMENTS, or any portion thereof, may not be disclosed, distributed or disseminated except as provided in subparagraph (d) below.
- d. The PROTECTED DOCUMENTS, or any portion thereof, may only be disclosed to the following persons:
 - (1) Counsel for Plaintiffs and Counsel for Defendants only and not to Parties;
 - (2) Paralegal, stenographic, clerical and secretarial personnel regularly employed by counsel referred to in subparagraph (1) above.
 - (3) Court personnel, including stenographic reporters engaged in such proceedings as are necessarily incidental to preparation for the

Expert witnesses and investigators designated by Counsel for the Parties solely for the purpose of this litigation.

5

4

6

7

8

9

10 11

12

13 14

15

16 17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25 26

27

28

(5) The Plaintiffs themselves upon notice to the LADA of intent to do so. Counsel for Plaintiffs must notify the LADA of an intent to show Plaintiffs specific documents and the basis therefor. The LADA must then, within 15 days, submit to Plaintiffs' counsel a written objection and basis for the objection to prevent Plaintiffs from being shown the documents. Plaintiffs' counsel must then, within 10 days, respond in writing to the objections of the LADA. If, at that point, the

LADA still seeks to prevent disclosure, within 15 days, the Plaintiffs

may seek the appropriate relief from the Court.

- Notwithstanding the foregoing, any party bound by this Stipulation e. who contests the confidential nature of documents produced pursuant to this Stipulation may move the Court for an order to have the documents removed from the protective order and to have the documents declared not confidential, or otherwise move to modify the Stipulation as to some or all of the documents. These procedures are in addition to, and not in lieu of compliance with Local Rule 37-1 et seq. relating to discovery motions.
- f. Furthermore, each person (except Court personnel) to whom disclosure of The PROTECTED DOCUMENTS, or any portion thereof, is made, with the exception of those identified in subparagraph (d) above who are presumed to know the contents of this protective order, shall, prior to the time of disclosure, be provided a copy of this order by the person furnishing him/her such material, and shall agree on the record or in writing that he/she has read the protective order, and that he/she understands the provisions of the

- protective order, and that he/she agrees to be bound by the provisions of this Protective Order. Such person (except Court personnel) also must consent in writing to be subject to the jurisdiction of the United States District Court with respect to any proceeding relating to enforcement of this order, including without limitation, any proceeding for contempt. Unless made on the record in this litigation, counsel making the disclosure to any person described above shall retain the original executed copy of said agreement until final termination of this litigation.
- g. All under seal filings will be governed by Local Rule 79-5.1.
- 6. Nothing in paragraph 5 is intended to prevent officials or employees of the County of Los Angeles or other authorized governmental officials from having access to the PROTECTED DOCUMENTS if they would have had access in the normal course of their job duties. Further, nothing in this order prevents a witness from disclosing events or activities personal to him or her, that is, a witness can disclose to others information previously given to the County of Los Angeles with respect to what he or she saw, heard or otherwise sensed.
- 7. The foregoing is without prejudice to the right of the Plaintiffs and the LADA:
 - To apply to the Court for a further protective order relating to confidential material or relating to discovery in this litigation;
 and
 - b. To apply to the Court for an order compelling production of documents or modification of this protective order or for any order permitting disclosure of documents or the information contained therein the terms of this protective order.
- 8. The LADA shall place a stamp on each PROTECTED DOCUMENT marked **Confidential–subject to Protective Order** and will release said

///

- documents within 10 court days from the date the LADA is notified that this Protective Order has been entered by the United States District Court. If through its inadvertence, surprise or neglect, the LADA does not label a PROTECTED DOCUMENT as indicated, counsel for Plaintiffs shall so notify the LADA so that the LADA may place the phrase **Confidential–subject to Protective Order**, on the PROTECTED DOCUMENT.
- 9. Within Thirty (30) days after the date that an Order terminating this litigation becomes no longer subject to judicial review, counsel for Plaintiffs shall promptly destroy all copies of the PROTECTED DOCUMENTS and shall certify it has not retained any such documents, or portions thereof except as required by the Court or law.
- 10. This Protective Order, when entered into by the Court, shall be retroactive to the date of the initial disclosure of documents made by the LADA in this matter.
- 11. This Protective Order is entered without prejudice to the right of any party and/or the LADA to file any motion for relief from the Court from any restriction hereof or for any other or further restriction on the production, exchange, or use of any documents, testimony, or other information produced, given, or exchanged in the course of discovery in this action. This Order may be modified, amended, or vacated by further Order of the Court.
- 12. This Protective Order shall survive the final determination for this action and shall remain in full force and effect after conclusion of all proceedings herein, and the court shall have continuing jurisdiction to enforce its terms.

8.

Case 2 08-cv-03128-CAS-PJW Document 47 Filed 04/16/09 Page 9 of 9 Page ID #:316